SINGLE BENCH

4

C.= Book

①

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 5784 / 2006

PETITIONER'S :

1. Milap Ram Daharia
S/o. Shri Moti Ram Daharia,
Aged about 40 years, Upper
Division Teacher, Govt. Middle
School –Bhairotal,
Block - Katghora,
Distt. - Korba (C.G.)

2. Tek Ram Kashyap,
S/o. Shri Ram Prasad ,
Aged about 40 years, Upper
Division Teacher, Govt. Higher
Secondary School, Podi-Dalha,
Block – Akaltara,
Distt. – Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

3. Kameshwar Ram Bairagi,
S/O. Shri Vrichha Ram Bairagi
Aged About 39 Years, Upper
Division Teacher,
Govt. Higher. Secondary
School -Beltara
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. J. S. Paikra, S/o. Shri Puran
Singh Paikra, Aged about 40
years, Head Master Govt. Middle
School –Tekar, Uttar/Bilha, Distt.
–Bilaspur

P.R. No. 5819/06
Presented by Sh. Anil Singh
dated 17/10/06

RECEIVED COPY
17/X/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-1073/06
16/10/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5. Rajendra Kumar Jagat,
S/o. Shri Vishal Singh, Aged about 40 years, Head Master Govt. Middle School-Kalmitar, Block –Kota Distt. - Bilaspur (C.G.)

6. Mahesh Ram Maravi,
S/o. Shri Dev Prasad Maravi, Aged about 38 years, Head Master Govt. Middle School, Ranibachali, Block – Kota Distt. – Bilaspur (C.G.)

7. Resham Lal Banjare,
S/o. Shri Darash Ram Banjare, Aged about 40 years, Head Master Govt. Middle School, Parsahi, Block-Akaltara, Distt. –Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

8. Santosh Kumar Shyam,
S/o. Late Jethu Ram Shyam, Aged About 39 Years, Lecturer Thakur Ram Singh Govt. Higher Secondary Scool, Ramhepur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT'S : 1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director, Public Instruction Raipur Chhattisgarh.

3. Joint Director, Public Instruction Bilaspur Sambhag, (C.G.)

(3)

(6)

4. District Education Officer,
   Distt. – Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. District Education Officer
   Distt. – Janjgir-Chanpa (C.G.)
6. District Education Officer
   Distt.- Korba (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(47)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. (S) No. 5784/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27-10-2006 Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S.) No.5762 of 2006 in open Court, passed separately. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Gopal

P.T.O.

27/10/06

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5762 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Sushma Swarup

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5784 OF 2006

Petitioners : Milap Ram Daharia and others

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5785 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Vaneeta Chandrakar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5814 OF 2006

Petitioner : Vinod Kumar Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(49)

Present : Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, counsel for the petitioners in all the petitions.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State in all the petitions.

ORDER
(Passed on 27th of October, 2006)

By this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to pay the increments and seniority on the basis of the date of their initial appointment in the light of the decision rendered by the learned State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in Original Application No.1452 of 1998 (*Harish Chand Singh and others vs. State of M.P. and others*) dated 31-1-2000, Original Application No.802 of 1998 (*Akhilesh Mishra and others vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others*) and Original Application No.991 of 1998 (*Ramayan Prasad Dwivedi and others vs. State of M.P. and others*).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners placed on record a copy of the order dated 12-10-2006 passed by this Court in Writ Petition (S.) No.5593 of 2006 (*Smt. Asha Ekka (now kaushik) and another vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others*) and submits that the petitioners are similarly situated, therefore, the similar order may be passed.

3. The present writ petitions, being Writ Petitions (S.) No.5762 of 2006, 5784 of 2006, 5785 of 2006 and 5814 of 2006 involve

similar facts and common question of law. Thus, these petitions are being disposed of by this common order.

4. The cases of the petitioners are that they were appointed as Teacher in the School Education Department on probation for a period of two years with the fixed salary. However, later on, after completion of two years' probation, the petitioners were regularized, but, they were not given the regular pay-scale. Other similarly situated teachers moved the State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur for regular pay-scale, wherein learned Tribunal, vide order dated 31-1-2000, allowed the same. The petitioners made several representations to accord the same treatment as they are also similarly situated teacher, but, the respondents have not paid any heed. Thus, this petition.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 to give similar treatment to the petitioners and the same pay-scale which has been sanctioned to other similarly situated teachers on account of the order of the State Administrative Tribunal dated 31-1-2000, to which learned counsel for the respondents/State has no objection.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the opinion of this Court, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners appears to be reasonable. Therefore, the petitioners are allowed to make a representation within a period of two weeks from today to respondent No.1, raising all their grievances and, in turn, respondent

No.1 shall decide the said representation in an objective manner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of such representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioners and taking into account the order dated 31-1-2000 passed by the learned State Administrative Tribunal in Original Application No.1452 of 1998, and if the petitioners stand on the same footings, as the petitioners in the Original Application No.1452 of 1998, then they be also sanctioned the same pay-scale and seniority.

7. The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid direction. No order as to costs.

8. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal